Approved For Release 20 150 2/4 CEA RDF 86B01139A000100130006-3

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: COINS Evaluation Plan

The Problem:

We are requested and required to develop a plan for the evaluation of the community on-line intelligence system which

- 1. Can be completed within six to mine wonths after the communications system goes into effect.
 - 2. Will yield objective measures of the system's effectiveness.
 - 3. Will answer all the standard questions asked by management.

In general, since COINS I was sixed to solve the practical problems involved in inter-agency exchange of formatted records and not toward the solution of spacific intelligence problems, we cannot expect to be able to demonstrate the value of such an exchange system without the addition of other files to the system. Hence, we cannot expect to be able to determine whether or not the system is worth what it will cost within the given timeframe.

The Decisions:

- I. In order to attack this problem, we have decided to separate it into three independent sub-problems:
 - A. The evaluation of the performance characteristics of the system.

In this phase, we shall control the input to the system and measure the response.

To be exact, we shall

- 1. Determine the set of queries which may be sent from each agency to each other agency.
 - 2. Vary the number of queries sent at each hour of the day.
- 3. Measure the response time, the queue length, and the error rate at each decision point of the system.
 - B. The evaluation of the initial usage of the system.

In this phase, we shall measure the input to the system and classify the response.

To be exact, we shall

- 1. Determine the number of analysts at each agency who use information on COINS subjects whether taken from the current COINS files or other central files or personal files.
- 2. Retermine the number of times per week each analyst refers to each type of file.
- 3. Accept the analyst's classification of the answer from each type of file as satisfactory or not.
- 4. Accept the analyst's classification of unsatisfactory answers from COINS by reason as follows:
 - a. unduly delayed
 - b. outdated
 - e. inaccurate
 - d. containing too many records not relevant to the quary.
 - e. containing no record relevant to the query.
 - C. The evaluation of the potential value of the system.

Since it is recognized that the value of an information exchange system depends in the last analysis on its contribution to the solution of intelligence problems, we have decided to include contingent plane for testing the limits of the system.

The implementation of these plans is contingent upon

- a. a decision by the agencies involved to make a joint effort to close a specific intelligence gap and precise definition of the objectives.
- b. the assignment of skilled analysts from each agency to the task force.
- c. The inclusion of the appropriate files in the COINS eystem and provision for access to other sources of information by all easiysts involved.

The selection of the specific intelligence problems to be proposed is still an open question; decisions made in this erea will however, not affect the implementation of Phases A and B.

Implementation:

25X1

									curity				
to	proces	d vith	the	devel) put 4	mt e	of the	stati	stical	and r	pathec	etical	nodel
fer	evalu	ation	of ti	e sys	t em	pari	Forman	ce cha	racter	istic	and	of the	initial
UBS	ge on	the be	eis c	of the	for	cegoi	ine de	cision	9.				

GROUP-3

25X1	(DIA)	(MPIC)	25X1
25X1	(NSA)	(RADC)	25X1
25X1	(NSA)	(CIA)	25X1
25X1	(DIA)	(CIA)	25X1

GROUP-3
Downgraded at 12 year
Intervals; not
automatically declassified

is. The Panel decided that each member should condider the objectives and be prepared at the next meeting to suggest specific problems which might be attached with the aid of COIMS. From these suggestions and the communications avaluation plan submitted by _______ a draft plan for submission to CODIS will be developed. The mext meeting will be held at DIA, 29 November 1966, 1000 hours.

25X1